SHORT COURSE CERTIFICATION-APPLICATION FORM

Please adjust the size of the tables at your convenience

Formal Data

Name of the short course (language of the economic region)	นวัตกรรมเทคโนโลยีการแปรรูปสำหรับอุตสาหกรรมเครื่องดื่ม
Name of the short course (English)	Innovative Processing Technologies for Beverage Industry
Contact person - E-mail - Telephone number - Fax	Sasitorn Tongchitpakdee <u>sasitorn.ch@ku.th</u> Phone: +66819829204
	Fax: +6625625021
Web address (of the course provider)	www.sea-abt.eu
Start date of short course	27-28 February, 2018
Length of course (days/hours)	2 days
Fees / charges to delegates	no

2. The rationale of the programme

Educational objectives (brief	This workshop is aimed to enhance knowledge and
statement) and Learning Outcomes	industrial applications of innovative processing
(LO)	technology for beverage industry.
Programme outcomes (consistency with the objectives, consistency with general outcomes such as knowledge, competences and personal skills)	Knowledge about innovative technologies and their application to beverage industry.

3. Educational Process

Overview of the syllabus	Day 1			
	8:30-9:00	Registration		
	9:00-9:15	Welcome		
	Assistant Prof. Dr. Sasitorn Tongchitpakdee,KU (Thailand SEA-ABT Co-Ordinator)			
	9:15-10:00	Overview of Innovative Processing		
	Technology for Beverage industry			
	Dr. Pitiya Kamolpattna, Department of Food			
	Science and Technology,KU			
	10:00-10:15	Coffee break		
	10:15-11:20	Membrane system for Beverage		
	Applications			
	Dr. Aporn La	orko, Technical Sale & Service		
	Manager ,Lic	quid Purification Engineering		

International Co., Ltd.
11:20-12:30 Pulse-Electric Field Technology
Dr. Pitiya Kamolpattna, Department of Food
Science and Technology, KU
12:30-13:30 Lunch
13:30-14:40 Ohmic heating
Dr. Pitiya Kamolpattna, Department of Food
Science and Technology, KU
14:40-15:00 Coffee break
15:00-16:00 Microwave processing
Associatet Prof. Dr. Nantawan Therdthai,
Department of Product Development, KU
Day 2
9:00-10:15 High pressure processing (HPP)
Assistant Prof. Dr. Pitiya Kamolpattna, Department
of Food Science and Technology, KU
10:15-10:30 Coffee break
10:30-11:30 Microbiological aspects of high
pressure processing
Assistant Prof. Dr. Wannasawat Ratphitagsanti,
Department of Product Development, KU
11:30–12:30 High Pressure Homogenization for

	Beverage Applications
	Ms. Phatcharin Rungthavon, F&B Key Account
	Sales Manager, SPX FLOW, Inc.
	12:30-13:30 Lunch
	13:30-14:30 UV Technology
	Assistant Prof. Dr. Chitsiri Rachtanapun
	(Thongson), Department of Food Science and
	Technology, KU
	14:30-14:50 Coffee break
	14:50-15:50 Cold Plasma Technology
	Mr. Krit Lajaroj, Febix, Inc.
	15:50-16:15 Wrap up & Evaluation
Learning and assessment (methods of assessment of LO as in Section 2.)	Discussion
Alignment matrix with European	Level 6
Qualification Framework (see Annex I)	
	(Equivalent to first cycle, Bachelor's degrees)

4. Resources

Teaching and support staff (names, qualifications, number, and relevant	1. Assistant Prof. Dr. Pitiya Kamolpattna,
professional experience and	Department of Food Science and
activities)	Technology, KU
	Ph.D. (Food Engineering)
	2. Assistant Prof. Dr. Wannasawat
	Ratphitagsanti, Department of Product
	Development, KU
	3. Assistant Prof. Dr. Chitsiri Rachtanapun
	(Thongson), Department of Food Science
	and Technology, KU
	4. Ms. Phatcharin Rungthavon, F&B Key
	Account Sales Manager, SPX FLOW, Inc.
	5. Dr. Aporn Laorko, Technical Sale & Service
	Manager ,Liquid Purification Engineering
	International Co., Ltd.
	6. Mr. Krit Lajaroj, Febix, Inc.

5. Quality Assurance System

How will the success of the course objectives and outcomes be assessed?	Evaluation Form
Describe the educational process.	Participants gain knowledge through lecture and discussion with instructor.
Give an analysis of student results (for courses that have run previously)	Participants are able to answer question and discuss with instructor during the course, showing that they understand the content. They also asked many question during the course.
Give an analysis of feedback from students (for courses that have run previously)	Overall students agree with satisfaction for the course.
Give an analysis of feedback from employers (for courses that have run previously)	Employers who attend the course give feedback of satisfaction for instructors and contents.

6. Supporting information about the study programme

Indicative headings and content guidance – please consider which of these you wish use and then expand and develop.

Context (particularly where the course has been run on several occasions)	This CPD is quite fit to beverage technology	
 How does it fit within the field of study or practice? What is its main 	academy. Innovative Processing Technologies	
purpose?How was it developed?	course is able to give idea of process innovation t	
• How is it kept up to date?	employers in beverage industry. The course is	
	developed by problem base in real situation of	

	beverage industry. The context will be kept up to
	date annually according to novel technologies and
	case from industry.
Performance What does employer / practitioner /	In general, the employer was satisfied with the
professional body feedback reveal about the relevance of the course (where applicable)	course and will send another employee to attend
 What effects does completing the 	the course next time depending on course fee and
course have on the career path of the students?	availability of their employee.
Quality & Standards Management • How effective is the assessment	In the next training, post exam may be used to
strategy in supporting and demonstrating the fulfilment of the	evaluate the performance to participants. This will
learning outcomes, and in discriminating between different levels of performance?	help the instructor to obtain more information to
 How effective are the processes for giving feedback to students on their progress and work? 	improve their content and teaching method.
 Has student feedback led to any changes in the course? 	
 Show how internal and external bodies help ensure the quality standards of the course 	
Course Design & Development Describe any employer / practitioner /	This course consists of many instructors both in
professional body contribution to course design and their involvement	academic and private sector, who are experts in
in course developments.Explain how students have	innovative technologies or providing different
contributed to the course design and development	innovation technologies. They involved in designing
 How do you expect the course to develop in the next three years? 	the course using their expertise. Please see list of
	instructors below.
	1. Assistant Prof. Dr. Pitiya Kamolpattna,
	Department of Food Science and
	Technology, KU
	Ph.D. (Food Engineering)
	2. Assistant Prof. Dr. Wannasawat

	Ratphitagsanti, Department of Product Development, KU
	 Assistant Prof. Dr. Chitsiri Rachtanapun (Thongson), Department of Food Science and Technology, KU
	4. Ms. Phatcharin Rungthavon, F&B Key Account Sales Manager, SPX FLOW, Inc.
	 Dr. Aporn Laorko, Technical Sale & Service Manager ,Liquid Purification Engineering International Co., Ltd.
	6. Mr. Krit Lajaroj, Febix, Inc.
	Students have contributed to the course design and development by giving feedback.
	In the next three years, the course should be update with more innovative technology. Post test could be applied for better evaluation.
<i>Additional information</i> (Optional: please add anything that will support your application).	

Annex 1. Educational Levels as Defined by the European Qualification Framework for Lifelong-learning

Each of the 8 levels is defined by a set of descriptors indicating the learning outcomes relevant to qualifications at that level in any system of qualifications.	KNOWLEDGE In the context of EQF, knowledge is described as theoretical and/or factual.	SKILLS In the context of EQF, skills are described as cognitive (involving the use of logical, intuitive and creative thinking) and practical (involving manual dexterity and the use of methods, materials, tools and instruments).	COMPETENCE In the context of EQF, competence is described in terms of responsibility and autonomy.
Level 1	Basic general knowledge	Basic skills required to carry out simple tasks	Work or study under direct supervision in a structure context
Level 2	Basic factual knowledge of a field of work or study	Basic cognitive and practical skills required to use relevant information in order to carry out tasks and to solve routine problems using simple rules and tools	Work or study under supervision with some autonomy
Level 3 (Equivalent to school leaving qualifications, eg UK A-levels)	Knowledge of facts, principles, processes and general concepts, in a field of work or study	A range of cognitive and practical skills required to accomplish tasks and solve problems by selecting and applying basic methods, tools, materials and information	Take responsibility for completion of tasks in work or study Adapt own behaviour to circumstances in solving problems
Level 4 (Equivalent to first cycle, certificate level)	Factual and theoretical knowledge in broad contexts within a field of work or study	A range of cognitive and practical skills required to generate solutions to specific problems in a field of work or study	Exercise self- management within the guidelines of work or study contexts that are usually predictable, but are subject to change Supervise the routine work of others, taking some responsibility for the evaluation and improvement of work or study activities
Level 5 (Equivalent to first cycle, diploma level)	Comprehensive, specialised, factual and theoretical knowledge within a field of work or study and an awareness of the boundaries of that knowledge	A comprehensive range of cognitive and practical skills required to develop creative solutions to abstract problems	Exercise management and supervision in contexts of work or study activities where there is unpredictable change Review and develop performance of self and others

Each of the 8 levels is	KNOWLEDGE	SKILLS	COMPETENCE
defined by a set of descriptors indicating the learning outcomes relevant to qualifications at that level in any system of qualifications.	In the context of EQF, knowledge is described as theoretical and/or factual.	In the context of EQF, skills are described as cognitive (involving the use of logical, intuitive and creative thinking) and practical (involving manual dexterity and the use of methods, materials, tools and instruments).	In the context of EQF, competence is described in terms of responsibility and autonomy.
Level 6 (Equivalent to first cycle, Bachelor's degrees)	Advanced knowledge of a field of work or study, involving a critical understanding of theories and principles	Advanced skills, demonstrating mastery and innovation, required to solve complex and unpredictable problems in a specialised field of work or study	Manage complex technical or professional activities or projects, taking responsibility for decision-making in unpredictable work or study contexts Take responsibility for managing professional development of individuals and groups
Level 7 (Equivalent to second cycle, Master's degrees)	Highly specialised knowledge, some of which is at the forefront of knowledge in a field of work or study, as the basis for original thinking and/or research Critical awareness of knowledge issues in a field and at the interface between different fields	Specialised problem- solving skills required in research and/or innovation in order to develop new knowledge and procedures and to integrate knowledge from different fields	Manage and transform work or study contexts that are complex, unpredictable and require new strategic approaches Take responsibility for contributing for professional knowledge and practice and/or for reviewing the strategic performance of teams
Level 8 (Equivalent to third cycle, doctorates)	Knowledge of the most advanced frontier of a field of work or study and at the interface between fields	The most advanced and specialised skills and techniques, including synthesis and evaluation, required to solve critical problems in research and/or innovation and to extend and redefine existing knowledge or professional practice	Demonstrate substantial authority, innovation, autonomy, scholarly and professional integrity and sustained commitment to the development of new ideas or processes at the forefront of work or study contexts including research